California Community Colleges #RealCollege Survey Appendices

Appendix A. Participating Postsecondary Institutions in this Report

2018 #REALCOLLEGE SURVEY

Barstow Community College

Berkeley City College

Butte College

Cabrillo College

Canada College

Chaffey College

Citrus College

Clovis Community College

Coastline Community College

College of San Mateo

College of the Redwoods

College of the Siskiyous

Contra Costa College

Copper Mountain College

Cypress College

De Anza College

Diablo Valley College

El Camino College-Compton Center

Evergreen Valley College

Foothill College

Fresno City College

Fullerton College

Golden West College

Lake Tahoe Community College

Laney College

Long Beach City College

Los Angeles Trade Technical College

Los Medanos College

Monterey Peninsula College

Moorpark College

Mt. San Antonio College

North Orange Continuing Education

Orange Coast College

Palomar College

Porterville College

Reedley College

Rio Hondo College

San Diego City College

San Diego Continuing Education

San Diego Mesa College

San Diego Miramar College

San Joaquin Delta College

San Jose City College

Santa Monica College

Santa Rosa Junior College

Skyline College

West Los Angeles College

Woodland Community College

#2016 REALCOLLEGE SURVEY

Cuyamaca College

East Los Angeles College

Grossmont College

Los Angeles City College

Los Angeles Harbor College

Los Angeles Mission College

Los Angeles Pierce College

Los Angeles Southwest College

Los Angeles Valley College

Appendix B. Survey Methodology

SURVEY ELIGIBILITY AND PARTICIPATING COLLEGES

The Hope Center extended the opportunity to participate in the #RealCollege survey (at no charge) to any California Community College, with the invitation coming directly from the California Community College Chancellor's Office. Participating institutions agreed to administer an online survey in the fall and offer ten \$100 prizes to their students in order to boost response rates. Institutions sent a series of invitations and follow-up reminders to all enrolled students encouraging them to participate. The Hope Center for College, Community, and Justice provided the email invitation language as well as hosted the survey as shown below. Upon opening the survey they were presented with a consent form in compliance with Institutional Review Board standards. To actually take the survey the student must have clicked continue as a record of his/her consent and completed a minimum of the first page of the survey. Participating institutions were asked to use only the provided invitation language to ensure consistency across institutions.

Subject: #RealCollege: Speak out - chance to win \$100!

Making it in college these days can be tough. We want to help.

Colleges and universities need to know about the lives of real students like you so that they can offer more support. After you complete the survey, you can enter a drawing to receive a \$100 award.

This survey we call "#RealCollege" is all about you and your college experience. You're getting it because you attend [COLLEGE NAME] and people there want to help you succeed.

Click here to share your story. [SURVEY LINKED HERE]

Everything will be kept confidential so, tell the truth. Share your challenges. Help us find solutions.

The sample includes 39,930 students from 57 institutions (see Appendix A for a list of participating institutions). Institutions typically fielded the survey early in fall term, as students enduring basic needs insecurity are at greater risk for dropping out of school later in the year.¹² Institutions sent survey invitations to an estimated 795,632 students, yielding a response rate of 5.13

COLLEGE SURVEY PARTICIPANTS

Table B-1. Characteristics of Participating Institutions

	Percentage
URBANICITY OF LOCATION	
City	44
Suburb	44
Town	5
Rural	7

Table B-1. Characteristics of Participating Institutions (continued)

	Percentage
UNDERGRADUATE POPULATION	
Fewer than 5,000	13
5,000-9,999	25
10,000-19,999	38
20,000 or more	24
UNDERGRADUATES AWARDED PELL GRANTS	
Less than 25%	43
25%-49%	53
50%-74%	4
75% or more	0

Source: Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (2017 & 2018). Retrieved from https://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/

Notes: TThe information above reflects the characteristics of 55 institutions in the fall of 2017 with the exception of information on Pell awardees, which was collected in fall 2016. In addition, characteristics of institutions that participated in the 2016 RealCollege Survey are from fall 2016. Two college programs, North Orange Continuing Education and San Diego Continuing Education, were missing IPEDS information and are not included in the above table.

STUDENT SURVEY PARTICIPANTS

Table B-2. Characteristics of California Community College Survey Respondents

	Percentage
GENDER ORIENTATION	
Male	29
Female	70
Transgender	1
Does not identify as female, male, or transgender	2
SEXUAL ORIENTATION	
Heterosexual or straight	81
Gay or lesbian	4
Bisexual	9
Is not sure or neither heterosexual, gay, lesbian, or bisexual	6

Table B-2. Characteristics of California Community College Survey Respondents (continued)

	Percentage
RACIAL OR ETHNIC BACKGROUND	
White or Caucasian	29
African American or Black	7
Hispanic or Latinx	46
American Indian or Alaskan Native	3
Middle Eastern or North African or Arab or Arab American	2
Southeast Asian	7
Pacific Islander or native Hawaiian	3
Other Asian or Asian American	11
Other	4
STUDENT IS A U.S. CITIZEN OR PERMANENT RESIDENT	
Yes	91
No	5
Prefers not to answer	3
HIGHEST LEVEL OF PARENTAL EDUCATION	
No high school diploma	20
High school diploma	22
Some college	35
Bachelor's degree or greater	19
Does not know	4
AGE	
18 to 20	37
21 to 25	27
26 to 30	14
Older than 30	22
COLLEGE ENROLLMENT STATUS	
Full-time (at least 12 credits)	57
Part-time (fewer than 12 credits)	43

Table B-2. Characteristics of California Community College Survey Respondents (continued)

	Percentage
YEARS IN COLLEGE	
Less than 1	29
1 to 2	36
3 or more	36
DEPENDENCY STATUS	
Dependent	35
Independent	65
STUDENT RECEIVES THE PELL GRANT	
Yes	40
No	60
STUDENT HAS CHILDREN	
Yes	22
No	78
RELATIONSHIP STATUS	
Single	54
In a relationship	30
Married or domestic partnership	14
Divorced	2
Widowed	0
STUDENT HAS BEEN IN FOSTER CARE	
Yes	4
No	96
STUDENT SERVED IN THE MILITARY	
Yes	3
No	97
EMPLOYMENT STATUS	
Employed	57
Not employed, looking for work	20

Table B-2. Characteristics of California Community College Survey Respondents (continued)

	Percentage
Not employed, not looking for work	23
STUDENT HAS BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME	
Yes	4
No	96
DISABILITY OR MEDICAL CONDITION	
Learning disability (dyslexia, etc.)	8
Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD)	8
Autism spectrum disorder	1
Physical disability (speech, sight, mobility, hearing, etc.)	6
Chronic illness (asthma, diabetes, autoimmune disorder, cancer, etc.)	12
Psychological disorder (depression, anxiety, etc.)	30
Other	3
No disability or medical condition	43

Notes: Classifications of gender orientation, racial or ethnic background, and disability or medical condition are not mutually exclusive. Students could self-identify with multiple classifications.

Appendix C. Three Survey Measures of Basic Needs Insecurity

1. Food Security

To assess food security in 2018, we used questions from the 18-item Household Food Security Survey Module (shown below) from the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). It is important to note that while we mainly discuss insecurity, the standard is to measure the level of security, referring to those with low or very low security as "food insecure."

FOOD SECURITY MODULE

Adult Stage 1

- 1. "In the last 30 days, I worried whether my food would run out before I got money to buy more." (Often true, Sometimes true, Never true)
- 2. "In the last 30 days, the food that I bought just didn't last, and I didn't have money to get more." (Often true, Sometimes true, Never true)
- 3. "In the last 30 days, I couldn't afford to eat balanced meals." (Often true, Sometimes true. Never true)

If the respondent answers "often true" or "sometimes true" to any of the three questions in Adult Stage 1, then proceed to Adult Stage 2.

Adult Stage 2

- 4. "In the last 30 days, did you ever cut the size of your meals or skip meals because there wasn't enough money for food?" (Yes/No)
- 5. [If yes to question 4, ask] "In the last 30 days, how many days did this happen?" (Once, Twice, Three times, Four times, Five times, More than five times)
- 6. "In the last 30 days, did you ever eat less than you felt you should because there wasn't enough money for food?" (Yes/No)
- 7. "In the last 30 days, were you ever hungry but didn't eat because there wasn't enough money for food?" (Yes/No)
- 8. "In the last 30 days, did you lose weight because there wasn't enough money for food?" (Yes/No)

If the respondent answers "yes" to any of the questions in Adult Stage 2, then proceed to Adult Stage 3.

Adult Stage 3

- 9. "In the last 30 days, did you ever not eat for a whole day because there wasn't enough money for food?" (Yes/No)
- 10. [If yes to question 9, ask] "In the last 30 days, how many days did this happen?" (Once, Twice, Three times, Four times, Five times, More than five times)

If the respondent has indicated that children under 18 are present in the household, then proceed to Child Stage 1:

Child Stage 1

- 11. "In the last 30 days, I relied on only a few kinds of low-cost food to feed my children because I was running out of money to buy food." (Often true, Sometimes true, Never true)
- 12. "In the last 30 days, I couldn't feed my children a balanced meal, because I couldn't afford that." (Often true, Sometimes true, Never true)
- 13. "In the last 30 days, my child was not eating enough because I just couldn't afford enough food." (Often true, Sometimes true, Never true)

If the respondent answers "often true" or "sometimes true" to any of the three questions in child stage 1, then proceed to child stage 2.

Child Stage 2

- 14. "In the last 30 days, did you ever cut the size of your children's meals because there wasn't enough money for food?" (Yes/No)
- 15. "In the last 30 days, did your children ever skip meals because there wasn't enough money for food?" (Yes/No)
- 16. [If yes to question 15, ask] "In the last 30 days, how often did this happen?" (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 or more times)
- 17. "In the last 30 days, were your children ever hungry but you just couldn't afford more food?" (Yes/No)
- 18. "In the last 30 days, did any of your children ever not eat for a whole day because there wasn't enough money for food?" (Yes/No)

In 2016, we used the six-item Household Food Security Survey Module from the USDA14, which comprised questions #2 through #7 of the above Adult Stage questions.¹⁵

To calculate a raw score for food security, we counted the number of questions to which a student answered affirmatively.

- a. "Often true" and "Sometimes true" were counted as affirmative answers.
- b. Answers of "Three times" or more were counted as a "yes." We translated the raw score into food security levels as follows:

RAW SCORE

	18-item (children present)	18-item (no children present)	Six-item
FOOD SECURITY LEVEL			
High	0	0	0
Marginal	1-2	1-2	1
Low	3-7	3-5	2-4
Very Low	8-18	6-10	5-6

2. Housing Insecurity

To assess housing insecurity, we used a series of survey questions adapted from the national Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP) Adult Well-Being Module to measure students' access to and ability to pay for safe and reliable housing.¹⁶ In 2018, we asked students the following questions:

HOUSING INSECURITY MODULE

- 1. "In the past 12 months, was there a rent or mortgage increase that made it difficult to pay?" (Yes/No)
- 2. "In the past 12 months, have you been unable to pay or underpaid your rent or mortgage?" (Yes/No)
- 3. "In the past 12 months, have you received a summons to appear in housing court?" (Yes/No)
- 4. "In the past 12 months, have you not paid the full amount of a gas, oil, or electricity bill?" (Yes/No)
- 5. "In the past 12 months, did you have an account default or go into collections?" (Yes/No)
- 6. "In the past 12 months, have you moved in with other people, even for a little while, because of financial problems?" (Yes/No)
- 7. "In the past 12 months, have you lived with others beyond the expected capacity of the house or apartment?" (Yes/No)
- 8. "In the past 12 months, did you leave your household because you felt unsafe?" (Yes/No)
- 9. "In the past 12 months, how many times have you moved?" (None, Once, Twice, 3 times, 4 times, 5 times, 6 times, 7 times, 8 times, 9 times, 10 or more times)
- 10. "In the past 12 months, was there a rent or mortgage increase that made it difficult to pay?" (Yes/No)

In 2018, students were considered housing insecure if they answered "yes" to any of the first eight questions or said they moved at least three times (question #9). In 2016, students were considered housing insecure if they answered affirmatively to question #2, #4, or #6, or they moved two or more times (question #9).¹⁷

3. Homelessness

To measure homelessness, we asked a series of survey questions developed by Crutchfield and Maguire (2017) that are based on definitions of homelessness adopted by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development and the U.S. Department of Education. In 2018, students were considered homeless if they answered affirmatively to question #1 OR any part of question #2 (parts e through m) in the Homelessness Module (below).¹⁸

HOMELESSNESS MODULE

- 1. "In the past 12 months, have you ever been homeless?"
- 2. "In the past 12 months, have you slept in any of the following places? Please check all that apply?"

- a. Campus or university housing
- b. Sorority/fraternity house
- c. In a rented or owned house, mobile home, or apartment (alone or with roommates or friends)
- d. In a rented or owned house, mobile home, or apartment with my family (parent, guardian, or relative)
- e. At a shelter
- f. In a camper
- g. Temporarily staying with a relative, friend, or couch surfing until I find other housing
- h. Temporarily at a hotel or motel without a permanent home to return to (not on vacation or business travel)
- i. In transitional housing or independent living program
- j. At a group home such as halfway house or residential program for mental health or substance abuse
- k. At a treatment center (such as detox, hospital, etc.)
- I. Outdoor location (such as street, sidewalk, or alley; bus or train stop; campground or woods, park, beach, or riverbed; under bridge or overpass; or other)
- m. In a closed area/space with a roof not meant for human habitation (such as abandoned building; car, truck, van, RV, or camper; encampment or tent; unconverted garage, attic, or basement; etc.)

In 2016, students were considered homeless if they answered affirmatively to any of the first five questions below or answered "no" to question #6:19

- 1. "In the past 12 months, did you not know where you were going to sleep even for one night?" (Yes/No)
- 2. "In the past 12 months, have you been thrown out of the home?" (Yes/No)
- 3. "In the past 12 months, have you been evicted from home?" (Yes/No)
- 4. "In the past 12 months, have you stayed in a shelter" (Yes/No)
- 5. "In the past 12 months, have you stayed in an abandoned building, auto, or other place not meant as housing?" (Yes/No)
- 6. "In the past 12 months, did you have a home?" (Yes/No)

Appendix D. Comparing Measures of Homelessness

One key challenge to supporting homeless students is that they often do not identify as homeless. In this survey, we posed direct questions about students' homelessness status and compared those results with the indirect measures assessing their actual experiences (described in Appendix C). As shown in Table D, when asked if they ever experienced homelessness in the past year, the majority of students who said "yes" also reported couch surfing (77%) or sleeping in a location used to classify students as homeless (91%). However, among students who reported couch surfing in the past year—a considerably greater number of students than those who said they had been homeless (2,515 versus 1,738)—only half self-identified as experiencing homelessness. Similarly, only 32% who reported sleeping in a location used to classify students as homeless also self-identified as experiencing homelessness.

TABLE D. Comparisons of Homelessness Measures

	Number of Students	Percentage self-identified homeless (%)	Percentage ever couch surfed (%)	Percentage experienced location-based homelessness (%)
AMONG RESPONDENTS WHO:				
Self-identified homeless	1,738	100	77	91
Ever couch surfed	2,515	53	100	90
Experienced location-based homelessness	5,038	32	45	100

Note: The first row refers the students who responded "Yes" to the following question: "In the past 12 months have you been homeless?" The second row refers to students who responded "Yes" to the following question: "In the past 12 months, did you couch surf—that is moved from one temporary housing arrangement to another because you had no other place to live?" The last row, experienced location-based homelessness, reflects the students who reported sleeping in any of the following locations in the past 12 months: at a shelter; in a camper; temporarily staying with a relative, friend, or couch surfing; temporarily at a hotel or motel; in transitional housing or independent living program; at a group; at a treatment; outdoor location; in a closed area/space with a roof not meant for human habitation.

Appendix E. Tables on Data Used in Figures

TABLE E-1. Food Security Among California Community College Survey Respondents (Figure 1)

	Number of Students	Percentage
High	12,001	37
Marginal	4,117	13
Low	6,341	20
Very low	9,655	30

Source: 2016 & 2018 RealCollege surveys

Notes: According to the USDA, students at either the low or very low level of food security are termed "food insecure." For more details on the 2016 and 2018 food security measures used in this report, see Appendix C.

TABLE E-2. Food Insecurity Among California Community College Survey Respondents (Figure 2)

	Number of Students	Percentage
I worried whether my food would run out before I got money to buy more.	14,107	52
I couldn't afford to eat balanced meals.	13,430	49
The food that I bought just didn't last and I didn't have the money to buy more.	11,239	41
I cut the size of meals or skipped meals because there wasn't enough money for food.	11,208	41
I ate less than I felt I should because there wasn't enough money for food.	10,627	39
I was hungry but didn't eat because there wasn't enough money for food.	8,893	33
I cut the size of meals or skipped because there wasn't enough money for food. (Three or more times)	7,424	28
I lost weight because there wasn't enough money for food.	5,444	20
I did not eat for a whole day because there wasn't enough money for food.	3,163	12
I did not eat for a whole day because there wasn't enough money for food. (Three or more times)	1,816	7

Source: 2018 RealCollege Survey

TABLE E-3. Housing Insecurity Among California Community College Survey Respondents (Figure 3)

	Number of Students	Percentage
Any item	19,469	60
Had a rent or mortgage increase that made it difficult to pay	8,817	32
Did not pay full amount of utilities	7,693	28
Did not pay full amount of rent or mortgage	7,736	28
Moved in with people due to financial problems	6,892	25
Lived with others beyond the expected capacity of the housing	5,811	21
Had an account default or go into collections	4,998	18
Left household because felt unsafe	2,421	9
Moved three or more times	1,301	5
Received a summons to appear in housing court	683	2

Source: Top row-2016 & 2018 RealCollege surveys; all other rows-2018 RealCollege Survey

Notes: In the above table, the top row, "Any item" represents the rate of housing insecurity for all California community college survey respondents in 2016 and 2018. However, housing insecurity was measured differently in 2016. For more details on the 2016 and 2018 measures of housing insecurity used in this report, see Appendix C.

TABLE E-4. Homelessness Among California Community College Survey Respondents (Figure 4)

	Number of Students	Percentage
Any item	6,003	19
Self-identified homeless	1,744	6
LOCATIONS STAYED OVERNIGHT		
Temporarily with relative, friend or couch surfing	4,021	15
Temporarily at a hotel or motel without a permanent home to return to	1,059	4
In closed area/space with roof not meant for human habitation (such as abandoned building; car, truck, van, RV, or camper; encampment or tent; unconverted garage, attic, or basement; etc.)	1,006	4
At outdoor location (such as street, sidewalk, or alley; bus or train stop; campground or woods, park, beach, or riverbed; under bridge or overpass; or other)	644	2

TABLE E-4. Homelessness Among California Community College Survey Respondents (Figure 4) (continued)

	Number of Students	Percentage
In transitional housing or independent living	458	2
In a camper	439	2
At a treatment center (such as detox, hospital, etc.)	352	1
At a shelter	339	1
At a group home such as halfway house or residential program for mental health or substance abuse	278	1

Source: Top row-2016 & 2018 RealCollege surveys; all other rows-2018 RealCollege Survey

Notes: In the above table, the top row, "Any item" represents the rate of homelessness for all California community college survey respondents in 2016 and 2018. However, homelessness was measured differently in 2016. For more details on the 2016 and 2018 measures of homelessness used in this report, see Appendix C.

TABLE E-5. Intersections of Food Insecurity, Housing Insecurity, and Homelessness Among California Community College Survey Respondents (Figure 5)

	Number of Students	Percentage
No needs ("Secure")	9,649	30
Food insecure, housing insecure, or homeless ("Insecure")	22,871	70
Food and housing insecure	12,971	40
Housing insecure and homeless	5,370	17
Food insecure and housing	4,569	14

Source: 2016 & 2018 RealCollege surveys

Notes: Food insecurity, housing insecurity, and homelessness were measured differently in 2016. For more details on the 2016 and 2018 measures of basic needs insecurity used in this report, see Appendix C.

TABLE E-6. Variation in Institutional Rates of Food Insecurity, Housing Insecurity, and Homelessness Among California Community College Survey Participants (Figure 7)

	Number of Colleges	Mean	Standard Deviation	P25	P50 (Median)	P75
Food insecurity rate	52	51	8	46	52	57
Housing insecurity rate	52	61	7	57	61	66

TABLE E-6. Variation in Institutional Rates of Food Insecurity, Housing Insecurity, and Homelessness Among California Community College Survey Participants (Figure 7) (continued)

	Number of Colleges	Mean	Standard Deviation	P25	P50 (Median)	P75
Homelessness rate	52	19	4	16	19	22

Source: 2016 & 2018 RealCollege surveys

Notes: Food insecurity, housing insecurity, and homelessness were measured differently across years. For more details on the 2016 and 2018 measures of basic needs insecurity used in this report, see Appendix C. Institutional-level rates were not available for institutions in the San Diego Community College District or the San Mateo Community College District; however, district-level rates for these two districts are used in compiling the table above.

TABLE E-7. Employment Behavior by Basic Needs Insecurity Status* (Figure 8)

	Number of Students	Percentage
FOOD INSECURE—NO		·
Not working, not looking for work	3,861	28
Not working, looking for work	2,562	19
Working 1 to 20 hours	3,295	24
Working 21 to 30 hours	1,859	14
Working more than 30 hours	2,092	15
FOOD INSECURE—YES		
Not working, not looking for work	1,993	16
Not working, looking for work	2,614	21
Working 1 to 20 hours	3,086	25
Working 21 to 30 hours	2,219	18
Working more than 30 hours	2,616	21
HOUSING INSECURE—NO		
Not working, not looking for work	3,206	31
Not working, looking for work	2,188	21
Working 1 to 20 hours	2,555	25
Working 21 to 30 hours	1,315	13
Working more than 30 hours	1,112	11

TABLE E-7. Employment Behavior by Basic Needs Insecurity Status* (Figure 8) (continued)

	Number of Students	Percentage
HOUSING INSECURE—YES		
Not working, not looking for work	2,731	17
Not working, looking for work	3,082	19
Working 1 to 20 hours	3,911	24
Working 21 to 30 hours	2,822	17
Working more than 30 hours	3,639	22
HOMELESS-NO		
Not working, not looking for work	5,189	24
Not working, looking for work	4,177	19
Working 1 to 20 hours	5,222	24
Working 21 to 30 hours	3,284	15
Working more than 30 hours	3,731	17
HOMELESS—YES		
Not working, not looking for work	751	15
Not working, looking for work	1,097	22
Working 1 to 20 hours	1,247	25
Working 21 to 30 hours	854	17
Working more than 30 hours	1,021	21

*Among California Community College Survey Respondents

TABLE E-8. Self-Reported Grades by Basic Needs Insecurity Status* (Figure 9)

	Number of Students	Percentage
FOOD INSECURE—NO		
A	5,646	43
В	5,440	42
С	1,756	13
D or F	181	1

TABLE E-8. Self-Reported Grades by Basic Needs Insecurity Status* (Figure 9) (continued)

	Number of Students	Percentage
FOOD INSECURE—YES		
A	4,081	34
В	5,519	46
С	2,108	17
D or F	338	3
HOUSING INSECURE—NO		
A	4,257	43
В	4,172	43
С	1,244	13
D or F	127	1
HOUSING INSECURE—YES		
A	5,571	36
В	6,939	44
С	2,682	17
D or F	403	3
HOMELESS-NO		
A	8,131	39
В	9,084	44
С	3,084	15
D or F	381	2
HOMELESS-YES		
A	1,703	36
В	2,033	43
С	844	18
D or F	149	3

*Among California Community College Survey Respondents

TABLE E-9. Use of Public Assistance by Basic Needs Insecurity Status (Figure 10)

	Number of Students	Percentage
FOOD INSECURE		
Any Assistance	7,280	57
Medicaid or public health insurance	4,099	32
SNAP (food stamps)	2,782	22
Tax refunds (including EITC)	2,001	16
WIC (nutritional assistance for children and pregnant women)	1,097	9
Transportation assistance	1,044	8
Utility assistance (e.g., help paying for heat or water)	975	8
Housing assistance	819	6
TANF (public cash assistance; formerly called ADC or ADFC)	724	6
Child care assistance	644	5
SSI (supplemental security income)	477	4
SSDI (social security disability income)	472	4
Unemployment compensation or insurance	467	4
Veterans benefits	322	3
Other assistance	255	2
HOUSING INSECURE		
Any Assistance	9,256	57
Medicaid or public health insurance	5,197	32
SNAP (food stamps)	3,339	21
Tax refunds (including EITC)	2,695	17
WIC (nutritional assistance for children and pregnant women)	1,462	9
Transportation assistance	1,218	7
Utility assistance (e.g., help paying for heat or water)	1,197	7
Housing assistance	944	6

TABLE E-9. Use of Public Assistance by Basic Needs Insecurity Status (Figure 10) (continued)

	Number of Students	Percentage
TANF (public cash assistance; formerly called ADC or ADFC)	885	5
Child care assistance	795	5
SSI (supplemental security income)	577	4
SSDI (social security disability income)	585	4
Unemployment compensation or insurance	612	4
Veterans benefits	407	2
Other assistance	296	2
HOMELESS		
Any Assistance	3,050	60
Medicaid or public health insurance	1,741	34
SNAP (food stamps)	1,338	26
Tax refunds (including EITC)	827	16
WIC (nutritional assistance for children and pregnant women)	428	8
Transportation assistance	535	11
Utility assistance (e.g., help paying for heat or water)	333	7
Housing assistance	390	8
TANF (public cash assistance; formerly called ADC or ADFC)	360	7
Child care assistance	282	6
SSI (supplemental security income)	224	4
SSDI (social security disability income)	229	5
Unemployment compensation or insurance	228	5
Veterans benefits	147	3
Other assistance	137	3
SECURE		
Any Assistance	2,515	32
Medicaid or public health insurance	1,309	17

SNAP (food stamps)	417	5
Tax refunds (including EITC)	680	9
WIC (nutritional assistance for children and pregnant women)	212	3
Transportation assistance	266	3
Utility assistance (e.g., help paying for heat or water)	150	2
Housing assistance	125	2
TANF (public cash assistance; formerly called ADC or ADFC)	69	1
Child care assistance	82	1
SSI (supplemental security income)	149	2
SSDI (social security disability income)	141	2
Unemployment compensation or insurance	120	2
Veterans benefits	176	2
Other assistance	81	1

Authors

SARA GOLDRICK-RAB

Sara Goldrick-Rab is a Professor of Higher Education Policy and Sociology at Temple University, Founder of the Wisconsin HOPE Lab, and Founder of the Hope Center for College, Community, and Justice (launched September 2018). She is best known for her innovative research on food and housing insecurity in higher education. She is the recipient of the William T. Grant Foundation's Faculty Scholars Award, and the American Educational Research Association's Early Career Award, and in 2016 POLITICO magazine named her one of the top 50 people shaping American politics. Her latest book, Paying the Price: College Costs, Financial Aid, and the Betrayal of the American Dream is a 2018 winner of the Grawemeyer Award. Dr. Goldrick-Rab is ranked 6th in the nation among education scholars according to Education Week.

CHRISTINE BAKER-SMITH

Christine Baker-Smith is the Managing Director and Director of Research for the Hope Center for College, Community, and Justice. A sociologist of education, Christine's training is in mixedmethods research and causal inference with a focus on student social and academic engagement across schooling transitions. She holds a PhD from New York University in Sociology of Education, an EdM in Leadership, Policy and Politics from Teachers College, Columbia University, an MA in Social Sciences of Education from Stanford University, and a BA in Sociology from Whitman College. She has published on adolescence and school transitions in numerous peer-reviewed journals such as Sociology of Education, Peabody Journal of Education, and Education Finance and Policy.

VANESSA COCA

Vanessa Coca is a Senior Research Associate at the Hope Center for College, Community, and Justice. She has more than a decade of experience in conducting research on the postsecondary enrollment and completion of students of color, students from low-income households, immigrant students, and first-generation college goers. Vanessa received her PhD in sociology of education at the Steinhardt School of Culture, Education, and Human Development at New York University where she was an Institute of Education-funded Predoctoral Interdisciplinary Research Training (IES-PIRT) fellow. She also holds Bachelor's and Master's degrees from the University of Chicago.

ELIZABETH LOOKER

Elizabeth Looker is a Research Project Manager at the Hope Center for College, Community, and Justice. Prior to joining the Hope Center, her experience was in academic affairs where she launched an EMBA program, managed graduate and undergraduate curricula, and advised students on coursework and careers in the MIT Sloan School of Management. Elizabeth earned an MEd in Higher Education Administration from Suffolk University and a BA in Sociology and Fine Art from Hampshire College.

Endnotes

- U.S. Government Accountability Office. (2018). Food insecurity: Better information could help eligible college students access federal food assistance benefits. (GAO Publication No. 19-95) Washington, D.C.; U.S. Government Accountability Office. (2016). Higher education: Actions needed to improve access to federal financial assistance for homeless and foster youth. (GAO Publication No. 16-343) Washington, D.C.
- Martinez, S., Maynard, K., & Ritchie, L. (2016). Student food access and security study. Oak-2 land, CA: University of California Global Food Initiative; Crutchfield, R. & Maguire, J. (2018). Study of student basic needs. Long Beach, CA: California State University.
- See Crutchfield et al. (2018); Goldrick-Rab, S., Richardson, J., & Hernandez, A. (2017). Hungry and homeless in college: Results from a national study of basic needs insecurity in higher education. Madison, WI: Wisconsin HOPE Lab; Goldrick-Rab, S., Broton, K., & Eisenberg, D. (2015). Hungry to learn: Addressing food & housing insecurity among undergraduates. Madison, WI: Wisconsin HOPE Lab.
- El Zein, A., Shelnutt, K., Colby, S., Olfert, M., Kattelmann, K., Brown, O., & Mathews, A. (2017). The prevalence of food insecurity and its association with health and academic outcomes among college freshmen. Advances in Nutrition, 8(1), 4; Maroto, M. E., Snelling, A., & Linck, H. (2015). Food insecurity among community college students: Prevalence and association with grade point average. Community College Journal of Research and Practice, 39(6), 515-526; Morris, L. M., Smith, S., Davis, J., & Null, D. B. (2016). The prevalence of food security and insecurity among Illinois University students. Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior, 48(6), 376-382; Patton-López, M., López-Cevallos, D. F., Cancel-Tirado, D. I., & Vazquez, L. (2014). Prevalence and correlates of food insecurity among students attending a midsize rural university in Oregon. Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior, 46(3), 209-214; Simon, A., Goto, K., Simon, A., Breed, J., & Bianco, S. (2018). Factors associated with food insecurity and food assistance program participation among university students. Californian Journal of Health Promotion 16(1), 73-78.
- Broton, K. M. (2017). The evolution of poverty in higher education: Material hardship, academic success, and policy perspectives (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, Wisconsin.
- 6 For physical health, see Bruening, M., van Woerden, I., Todd, M., & Laska, M. (2018). Hungry to learn: The prevalence and effects of food insecurity on health behaviors and outcomes over time among a diverse sample of university freshmen. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity, 15(9), 1-10; Bruening, M., Argo, K., Payne-Sturges, D., & Laska, M. N. (2017). The struggle is real: A systematic review of food insecurity on postsecondary education campuses. Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics; Freudenberg, N., Manzo, L., Jones, H., Kwan, A., Tsui, E., & Gagnon, M. (2011). Food insecurity at CUNY: Results from a survey of CUNY undergraduate students. New York: The Campaign for a Healthy CUNY, The City University of New York; McArthur, L. H., Ball, L., Danek, A. C., & Holbert, D. (2018). A high prevalence of food insecurity among university students in Appalachia reflects a need for educational interventions and policy advocacy. Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior, 50(6), 564-572; Payne-Sturges, D. C., Tjaden, A., Caldeira, K. M., & Arria, A. M. (2017). Student hunger on campus: Food insecurity among college students and implications for academic institutions. American Journal of Health Promotion, 32(2), 349-354; Tsui, E., Freudenberg, N., Manzo, L., Jones, H., Kwan, A., & Gagnon, M. (2011). Housing instability at CUNY: Results from a survey of CUNY undergraduate students. New York: The Campaign for a Healthy CUNY, City University of New York; For symptoms of depression, see Bruening et al. (2018); Bruening et al. (2017); Payne-Sturges et al. (2017); Goldrick-Rab et al. (2015); Freudenberg et al. (2011). For higher perceived stress, see El Zein et al. (2017).
- Broton, K. M. & Goldrick-Rab, S. (2017). Going without: An exploration of food and housing insecurity among undergraduates. Educational Researcher 47(2). 121-133.

- Bianco, S., Bedore, A., Jiang, M., Stamper, N., Breed, J., Abbiati, L., & Wolff, C. (2016). Identifying food insecure students and constraints for SNAP/Calfresh participation at California State University, Chico. Chico, CA: California State University; Goldrick-Rab, S., & Nellum, C. (2015). Request to add measurement of food insecurity to the national postsecondary student aid study. Wisconsin HOPE Lab & American Council on Education Center for Policy Research and Strategy; see Goldrick-Rab et al. (2017).
- 9 To ensure we represent every California Community College institution that has participated in this survey, we include those schools that took the survey in 2016 where possible.
- 10 U.S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service. (2012). U.S. adult food security survey module: Three-stage design, with screeners.
- One of the many reasons students do not take advantage of available assistance is the social stigma that accompanies such aid. See King, J. A. (2017). Food insecurity among college students—Exploring the predictors of food assistance resource use (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Kent State University, Kent, Ohio; Allen, C. C., & Alleman, N. F. (2019). A private struggle at a private institution: Effects of student hunger on social and academic experiences. Journal of College Student Development, 60(1), 52-69; Henry, L. (2017). Understanding food insecurity among college students: Experience, motivation, and local solutions. Annals of Anthropological Practice, 41(1), 6-19; Ambrose, V. K. (2016). It's like a mountain: The lived experience of homeless college student (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). University of Tennessee-Knoxville, Knoxville, Tennessee; Tierney, W. G., Gupton, J. T., & Hallett, R. E. (2008). Transitions to adulthood for homeless adolescents: Education and public policy. Los Angeles: Center for Higher Education Policy Analysis, University of Southern California.
- Although assessments of basic needs insecurity made early in the fall semester are likely to capture more students, these assessments may also understate students' basic needs. In fact, Bruening et al. (2018) surveyed the same population in the beginning and the end of a semester and found that rates of food insecurity were higher at the end of the semester (35%) than in the beginning (28%).
- 13 The estimated number of survey invitations is based on the total number of undergraduates in the fall of 2016 or 2017 at participating institutions, as reported by the National Center for Education Statistic's Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System. Fall 2017 enrollment numbers for the North Orange Continuing Education and San Diego Continuing Education programs were gathered from the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office website.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service. (2012). U.S. Household Food Security Survey Module: Six-Item Short Form.
- 15 In 2017, we used the USDA's 10-item Adult Food Security Survey Module to assess food insecurity.
- See https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/sipp/about/sipp-content-information.htm-I#par_textimage_5
- 17 In 2017, students were considered housing insecure if they responded affirmatively to question #1, #2, #4, #6, or #7, or if they moved two or more times (question #9).
- Crutchfield, R. M. & Maguire, J. (2017). Researching basic needs in higher education: Qualitative and quantitative instruments to explore a holistic understanding of food and housing insecurity. Long Beach, CA: Basic Needs Initiative, Office of the Chancellor, California State University.
- In 2017, students were classified as homeless if they answered affirmatively to any of the first five questions asked in 2016.